



OHIO
UNIVERSITY

College of Osteopathic Medicine

Student Name: _____
Base CORE Hospital: _____
Clerkship Starting Date: _____ Clerkship Ending Date: _____

**CASE STUDY PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION FEEDBACK (GRADING CRITERIA)
YEAR 4 HEALTH CARE MANAGEMENT CLERKSHIP (OCOM 895)
ACADEMIC YEAR 2006-2007**

Student/Panelist

Name(s): _____

Presentation/Discussion Date: _____

Base CORE Hospital: _____

Please evaluate the HCMC case presentation relative to the following components and indicate the degree to which you agree with each statement (circle choice).

Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree 2=Disagree 3=Neutral 4=Agree 5=Strongly Agree

CONTENT

(Circle One)

With regard to the issues of cost, quality, and access, the presenter:

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 1. clearly and specifically identified the problem(s) in this case study | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| 2. identified what attempts have been made historically (locally or nationally) to address this problem | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| 3. explained what attempts were made to address the issue(s) in this case as well as the results | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| 4. identified what hospital and/or community resources are available to address the problem(s) related to this case | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| 5. discussed what a physician would do to address the problem(s) of cost related to this case | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |
| 6. discussed recommendations | 1 2 3 4 5 NA |

Comments:

PRESENTATION

- 1. The content information was logically sequenced. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 2. The presenter/panelists communicated effectively (e.g., volume, inflection, etc.) 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 3. The presenter/panelists used visuals which enhanced the presentation. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 4. The presenter/panelists involved the audience by asking questions and expecting answers. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 5. The presenter/panelists summarized important points at the end of the presentation. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 6. Handouts (if applicable) were valuable learning aids. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 7. The presentation/discussion was well organized. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 8. I learned valuable new information from this session. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 9. Panel Discussion was effective format for conveying content. 1 2 3 4 5 NA

Comments:

Overall Impression:

Evaluator's Signature

Date