



Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Base CORE Hospital: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Clerkship Starting Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Clerkship Ending Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**CASE STUDY PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION FEEDBACK (GRADING CRITERIA)  
 YEAR 4 HEALTH CARE MANAGEMENT CLERKSHIP (OCOM 895)  
 Addendum to Appendix L  
 ACADEMIC YEAR 2007-2008**

Student/Panelist Name(s): \_\_\_\_\_

Presentation/Discussion Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Base CORE Hospital: \_\_\_\_\_

*Please evaluate the HCMC case presentation relative to the following components and indicate the degree to which you agree with each statement (circle choice).*

**Scale: 1=Strongly Disagree    2=Disagree    3=Neutral    4=Agree  
           5=Strongly Agree        NA=Not Applicable**

**CONTENT**

**(Circle One)**

**With regard to the issues of cost, quality, and access, the presenter:**

- |   |                     |
|---|---------------------|
| 1. clearly and specifically identified the problem(s) in this case study  | <b>1 2 3 4 5 NA</b> |
| 2. identified what attempts have been made historically (locally or nationally) to address this problem             | <b>1 2 3 4 5 NA</b> |
| 3. explained what attempts were made to address the issue(s) in this case as well as the results                    | <b>1 2 3 4 5 NA</b> |
| 4. identified what hospital and/or community resources are available to address the problem(s) related to this case | <b>1 2 3 4 5 NA</b> |
| 5. discussed what a physician would do to address the problem(s) of access related to this case                     | <b>1 2 3 4 5 NA</b> |
| 6. discussed what a physician would do to address the problem(s) of cost related to this case                       | <b>1 2 3 4 5 NA</b> |
| 7. discussed what a physician would do to address the problem(s) of quality related to this case                    | <b>1 2 3 4 5 NA</b> |
| 8. discussed recommendations  | <b>1 2 3 4 5 NA</b> |

**Comments:**

**PRESENTATION**

- 1. The content information was logically sequenced. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 2. The presenter/panelists communicated effectively (e.g., volume, inflection, etc.) 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 3. The presenter/panelists used visuals which enhanced the presentation. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 4. The presenter/panelists involved the audience by asking questions and expecting answers. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 5. The presenter/panelists summarized important points at the end of the presentation. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 6. Handouts (if applicable) were valuable learning aids. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 7. The presentation/discussion was well organized. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 8. I learned valuable new information from this session. 1 2 3 4 5 NA
- 9. Panel Discussion was effective format for conveying content. 1 2 3 4 5 NA

**Comments:**

**Overall Impression:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluator's Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date